Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/614,389	RASOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry A. Bennett	3743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Henry A. Bennett</u> .	(3)	· ·
(2) Ma. Lasun (Ady) Date of Interview: <u>Sune</u> 2, 2005	(4)	
Date of Interview: June 2, 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		;
Rejection(s) discussed:		
		·
Claims discussed: ALC of RECOND		•
Prior art documents discussed: ALL CA RECOND		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: THE WAS AGREED THAT IF THE INDEPENDENT CLAIMS AND AMENDED TO INCLUDE THE CONTINUOUS THE THE TREMMENT GAS Part III. IS GITHER BY MICEO THOUGH THE MOUTH OR NOSTMUS THEN THE PENDING CLAIMS WOULD CLEANY DEFINE OVER THE AUT OF RECODE It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	Henny Bennett Supendson Patent Ex Enoup 3700	aminer
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)